

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AIPE		
	Application Number	09/646,478
MAR 0 1 2002 S TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	September 15, 2000
	First Named Inventor	Jin JEN, et al.
TRADEMANDE	Group Art Unit	Unassigned
(to be used for all correspondence after filing)	Examiner Name	Unassigned
Total number of Pages in this submission 25	Attorney Docket No.	GZ 2108.00

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)			
☐ FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM	☐ AS	SSIGNMENT PAPERS	☐ AFTER ALLOWANCE
☐ FEE ATTACHED	(	FOR AN APPLICATION)	COMMUNICATION TO GROUP
☐ AMENDMENT/RESPONSE	☐ Di	RAWING(S)	☐ APPEAL COMMUNICATION TO BOARD
☐ AFTER FINAL	☐ Li	CENSING-RELATED	OF APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
☐ Affidavits' Declaration(s)	. , > P/	APERS	
	□ PE	ETITION ROUTING SLIP	
<b>☒</b> EXTENSION OF TIME (5-MONTH)	(I	PTO/SB/60) AND	☐ APPEAL COMMUNIATION TO GROUP
SE 128	, ii	CCOMPANYING PETITION)	(APPEAL NOTICE, BRIEF, REPLY BRIEF)
☐ EXPRESS ABANDONMENT REQUEST	□ Ţo	O CONVERT A.	☐ PROPRIETARY INFORMATION
7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PF	ROVISIONAL	
		APPLICATION	
☐ INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	☐ Pov	WER OF ATTORNEY,	☐ STATUS LETTER
STATEMENT W/1449		CATION CHANGE OF	
·		ESPONDENCE ADDRESS	_
☐ CERTIFIED COPY OF PRIORITY  DOCUMENT(S)	☐ Ter	RMINAL DISCLAIMER	■ ADDITIONAL ENCLOSURE(S) ( PLEASE IDENTIFY BELOW):
☐ RESPONSE TO MISSING PARTS/	□SMA	LL ENTITY STATEMENT	RENEWED REQUEST AND PETITION FOR SUSPENSION
INCOMPLETE APPLICATION			OF THE RULES UNDER 37 CFR § 1.183, COPY OF
☐ RESPONSE TO MISSING PARTS	RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF A DEFECTIVE		
UNDER 37 CFR 1.52 OR 1.53			RESPONSE, STATEMENT BY OMITTED INVENTOR –
COPY OF PTO-1533			DAVID SIDRANSKY, CONSENT OF ASSIGNEES TO
			CHANGE INVENTORSHIP. COPIES OF DECLARATIONS
			BY INVENTORS AS FILED AND RETURN POSTCARD
☐ ISSUE FEE TRANSMITTAL	REMAI	RKS:	
McCutchen, doyle, brown & enerse	N LLP	DATE: FEBRUARY 19, 20	002 111
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 18	00	164: Ha	Aller de l'
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111		BY: MANAGERY	//WWI
TELEPHONE: (650) 849-4950	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
FACSIMILE: (650) 849-4800			
		ΓΕ OF MAILING BY "FIRST	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: <u>February 19, 2002</u> .			
for raterits, washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: E	epruary	<u>19, 2002</u> .	
By: Keggy V-ficholo		Name of person signi	ng: Peggy Nichols

Baker & McKenzie 660 Hansen Way Palo Alto, CA 94304



In re Application of JEN et al

U.S. Application No.: 09/646,478

Int. Application No.: PCT/US99/06947

Int. Filing Date: 30 March 1999 Priority Date: 31 March 1998

Attorney Docket No.: 126881201800

For: METHODS FOR THE DIAGNOSIS AND

TREATMENT OF LUNG CANCER

DECISION

This is in response to applicants' "Response to Notification of a Defective Response" filed 21 May 2001 which is being treated as a request to correct inventorship.

#### **BACKGROUND**

On 30 March 1999, applicants filed international application PCT/US99/06947, which claimed priority of an earlier United States application filed 31 March 1998. A Demand for international preliminary examination, in which the United States was elected, was filed on 12 October 1999, prior to the expiration of nineteen months from the priority date. Accordingly, the thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 30 September 2000.

On 15 September 2000, applicants filed national stage papers in the United States. The submission was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1).

On 13 October 2000, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a Notification of Missing Requirements Under 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905), indicating that an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497 must be filed along with a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(e) for providing the oath or declaration later than thirty (30) months from the priority date.

On 17 January 2001, applicants filed a "Response to Notice of Missing Requirements" which included, *inter alia*, a declaration, an assignment document, and the required late declaration surcharge.

On 20 April 2001, M. DO/EO/US mailed a Notification of a Defective Response (Form PCT/DO/EO/916) along with a Notification of a Defective Oath or Declaration (Form PCT/DO/EO/917) indicating that the declaration filed 17 January 2001 is improper since the declaration lists an inventor who is not listed on the international application.

On 21 May 2001, applicants filed the present response.

## **DISCUSSION**

The declaration filed 17 January 2001 lists David Sidransky as an additional inventor beyond those indicated in the international application.

The present response states that submission of the declaration should act to correct the inventorship pursuant to 37 CFR 1.48(f)(1). However, 37 CFR 1.48(f)(1) specifically refers to nonprovisional applications filed under 37 CFR 1.53(b). MPEP 201.06(c) states in relevant part, "37 CFR 1.53(b) is the section under which all applications are filed EXCEPT: (1) an application resulting from entry of an international application into the national stage under 35 U.S.C. 371 and 37 CFR 1.494 or 37 CFR 1.495..." As the present application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 371, correction of inventorship cannot be effected under 37 CFR 1.48(f)(1).

37 CFR 1.497(d) (effective 07 November 2000) states,

If the oath or declaration filed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4) and this section names an inventive entity different from the inventive entity set forth in the international application, the oath or declaration must be accompanied by: (1) a statement from each person being added as an inventor and from each person being deleted as an inventor that any error in inventorship in the international application occurred without deceptive intention on his or her part; (2) the processing fee set forth in § 1.17(i); and (3) if an assignment has been executed by any of the original named inventors, the written consent of the assignee (see § 3.73(b) of this chapter).

With regard to item (1) above, applicant has not provided the requisite statement from the purported inventor Sidransky.

With regard to item (2) above, the requisite fee has not been provided.

With regard to item (3) above, the written consent of the assignee has not been provided.

Therefore, none of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.497(d) have been satisfied.

The declaration filed 17 January 2001 is also improper for the following additional reason. It is not sufficient to submit only the signature page of the declaration. Applicant must file either

<sup>1</sup> It is noted that the assignment document filed 17 January 2001 is improper for the same reason.

1) a single complete declaration signed by all of the inventors or 2) multiple complete declarations, with each inventor's signature appearing on one of the multiple complete declarations.

## CONCLUSION

For the reasons above, the request to correct inventorship is <u>DISMISSED</u> without prejudice.

If reconsideration on the merits of this decision is desired, a proper response must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Failure to timely file a proper response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Request Under 37 CFR 1.497(d)" and should also include a properly executed declaration and the items set forth in 37 CFR 1.497(d). Extensions of time may be obtained pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to the Commissioner for Patents, Box PCT, Washington, D.C. 20231, and address the contents of the letter to the attention of the PCT Legal Office.

Bryan Tung

PCT Legal Exam

PCT Legal Examiner PCT Legal Office

Telephone: 703-308-6614 Facsimile: 703-308-6459

	1/31/02
	FOR: Shari Hall White Superior Partent Services (703) 418-3670
	SN 09/646478
January 30	O. 2002 10:29:32 A SHARL HALL  Prefered# Date File Stat.
Reaueste	09646478 2015 at 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16

Docket No. GZ 2018.00

OK.

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application for:

Jin JEN et al.

Serial No.: 09/646,478

Filing Date: September 15, 2000

For: METHODS FOR THE DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF LUNG

CANCER

#### POWER TO INSPECT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Applicants hereby grant to Superior Patent Services and his/her duly authorized representatives authorization to inspect and make copies of the files of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for the above-identified application for a period of 30 days from the date below.

Da4-2.

Respectfully submitted,

Бу: Д

Antoinette F. Konski

Registration No. 34,202

McCutchen Doyle Brown & Enersen LLP Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800 San Francisco, California 94111-4067

Telephone: (650) 849-4950 Facsimile: (650) 849-4800